

**Solutions of the Congruences  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $x^2 - y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  
and  $x^4 - y^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for a Prime Number  $p$**   
*Ali Astaneh, PD(Lon), Vancouver BC, Canada*

This article might as well have been entitled “Solutions of the Diophantine equations  $x^2 + y^2 = pz$ ,  $x^2 - y^2 = pz$ , and  $x^4 - y^4 = pz$  for prime  $p$ ”, in which case a solution would consist of a pair of integers  $(x,y)$  and another integer  $z$  depending on  $x$  and  $y$ . For example, in the straightforward case of  $p = 2$  and for the first equation  $x^2 + y^2 = pz$ ; all solutions can be described as all ordered pairs  $(u,v)$  of integers, where  $u$  and  $v$  are either both odd, or they are both even. Then  $z$  would be the integer  $z = \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2)$ .

However, in the congruency versions as stated in the title, a solution will consist of a pair of congruency classes  $([u], [v]) \pmod{p}$  defined by  $[u] = \{u + mp, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $[v] = \{v + np, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  where  $u$  and  $v$  are certain integers from the least non-negative residues  $0, 1, 2, \dots, p - 1 \pmod{p}$ . More precisely, by a class congruency  $\pmod{p}$  we mean  $([u], [v]) = \{(x, y) : x \in [u], y \in [v]\}$ .

Since class solutions for the case  $p = 2$  are obvious to describe for each of the congruences in the title, throughout the rest of the article we will assume that  $p$  is an odd prime number.

Having said that, we start by finding the class solutions for the first the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , which is more challenging compared to the second congruency  $x^2 - y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ; and necessary to handle the case of the last one  $x^4 - y^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . To this end we first point out that in general any congruency of the form  $x^n + y^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  always has the *trivial* class solution  $([u], [v]) = ([0], [0])$ , where class  $[0]$  is the class consisting of integer multiples of  $p$ .

Evidently here, we are more concerned with finding the existing *non-trivial* solution classes  $([u], [v])$  for the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime. Here we first recall that any odd prime number is either of the form  $p = 4k - 1$ , or else  $p = 4k + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$ . This being the case, Proposition 1 below shows in the former case  $p = 4k - 1$  the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has only the *trivial* class solution  $([0], [0])$ , whereas Theorem 1, followed by Proposition 1, shows that in latter case  $p = 4k + 1$  the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has exactly  $k$  distinct *primitive* class solutions  $([r_i], [s_i]), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , in the sense defined below.

**Definition:** For a prime number of the form  $p = 4k + 1$ , will call the pair  $([r], [s])$  a *primitive* class solution for the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  if and  $r$  and  $s$  are quadratic roots  $\pmod{p}$  of the respective quadratic residues  $a$  and  $p - a \pmod{p}$  with  $a \in [1, \frac{p-1}{2}]$ , and we will refer to such a pair  $(a, p - a)$  as a pair of least positive complementary quadratic residues  $\pmod{p}$ .

Note, that any *primitive* class solution  $([r], [s])$  defined as above for the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  automatically imply other class solutions derived from quadratic roots  $r$  and  $s$ . For one thing because of symmetry visible in the congruency  $([s], [r])$  will also be another class solution; and what is more for any non-zero integer  $u$ ,  $([us], [ur])$  and  $([ur], [us])$  will also be class solutions for the congruency.

hence, to find all *non-trivial* class solutions of the congruency it suffices to find all *primitive* class solution  $([r], [s])$  as defined above.

Next, we start with a Lemma regarding the congruency  $x^n + y^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  in general, but later we only use it for  $n = 2$  in the proof of the assertion made in upcoming Proposition 1.

**Lemma:** For a given odd prime number  $p$  the congruency  $x^n + y^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has no *non-trivial* solution with  $x^n \equiv y^n \pmod{p}$ .

**Proof:** Since the congruency  $x^n + y^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies  $x^n \equiv -y^n \pmod{p}$ , if we also have  $x^n \equiv y^n \pmod{p}$  then it follows that  $2x^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ; and this can only happen if  $x = mp$ , for some  $m$ , leading only to the *trivial* solution  $([0], [0])$ . Hence the Lemma follows.

The following Proposition 1 implies the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  will have no *non-trivial* solutions when  $p$  is of the form  $p = 4k - 1, k = 1, 2, n \dots$

**Proposition 1:** (*Astaneh*) The congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has *non-trivial* solution(s) if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

**Proof:** Assume first that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . That is  $p \equiv 4k + 1$  for some  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $a$  be a quadratic residue  $\pmod{p}$ . Then there is an integer  $x$  such that  $x^2 \equiv a$ . We show that the integer  $-a$  is also a quadratic residue  $\pmod{p}$ . To this end using Legendre's symbol on quadratic residues, we have,

$$\left(\frac{-a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^{2k} \cdot 1 = 1,$$

showing that  $-a$  is also a quadratic residue  $\pmod{p}$ . Therefore there exists another integer  $y$  such that  $y^2 \equiv -a$ . Since for the integers  $x$  and  $y$  the congruences  $x^2 \equiv a$  and  $y^2 \equiv -a$  imply  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ; proof of the if part of the Proposition follow.  
“

**Remark:** The proof just presented also shows when  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  quadratic residues  $\pmod{p}$  occur in distinct pairs of the integers of the form  $(a, p - a)$ , where both integers  $a$  and  $p - a$  can be chosen from the  $[1, p - 1]$ , and in what follows we will assume this is always. The above proof also implies that the number of quadratic residues  $\pmod{p}$  is even; and since the number of quadratic residues  $\pmod{p}$  is  $\frac{p-1}{2}$

for any prime  $p$ , it follows that there are exactly  $\frac{\frac{p-1}{2}}{2} = k$  distinct pairs of quadratic residues  $(a, p - a)$  with  $a, p - a \in [1, p - 1]$ . Moreover, there are exactly  $k$  pairs of such pair with  $a < p - a$ ; another words, there are exactly  $k$  pairs of *least positive complementary* pair of quadratic residues  $\pmod{p}$  for the congruency

$$x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

“

Next, for the proof of the only if part of the Proposition, assume the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has *non-trivial* Solution(s). Since by Lemma  $x^2 \not\equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$  the integers  $a = x^2$  and  $b = y^2$  are distinct quadratic residues  $\pmod{p}$ . Since we agreed not to distinguish between potential solutions  $(x, y)$  and  $(y, x)$ , without loss of generality we assume  $1 \leq a < b \leq p - 1$ . We will now choose  $a \equiv c \pmod{p}$  and  $b \equiv d \pmod{p}$  such that, say  $1 \leq a < b \leq p - 1$ . Then on one hand,

$2 \leq a + b \leq 2p - 2$ , and on the other hand  $a + b \equiv x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .  
 Now since  $2 \leq a + b \leq 2p - 2$ , it follows that  $a + b = p$ , or  $b = p - a$ . This means that quadratic residues  $(\text{mod } p)$  occur in pairs of distinct integers  $(a, p - a)$ , where both integers  $a$  and  $b$  are in the interval  $[1, p - 1]$ . Therefore, there exist an even number of quadratic residues  $(\text{mod } p)$ . Since for any odd prime  $p$  there are always  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  quadratic residues  $(\text{mod } p)$ , it follows that  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  is an even number. Therefore  $\frac{p-1}{2} = 2k$  for some  $k$ . Hence  $p = 4k + 1$ , and the proof of Proposition 1 is complete.

The “*Remark*” made in between the proofs of the if part and the only if part of the above Proposition 1 implies the following Corollary which is used in the upcoming Theorem.

**Corollary:** For an odd prime number  $p$  the quadratic residues  $(\text{mod } p)$  occur in pairs  $(a, p - a)$  if and only if  $p \equiv 4k + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$ ; this implies that there are exactly  $k$  such a pair  $(a, p - a)$  least positive complementary of quadratic residues  $(\text{mod } p)$  for which  $a < p - a$ ; as we have agreed that potential solutions  $(x, y)$  and  $(y, x)$  are considered the same class solution of the congruency.

In the light of the above Corollary, and in order to describe all possible *non-trivial* solutions of the congruency equation  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for the case  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  in an orderly fashion, here we make certain relevant definitions.

Now, when  $p = 4k + 1$  the following Theorem 1 implies that the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has exactly  $k$  distinct *non-trivial* class solutions; moreover the Theorem also describes each one of the  $k$  distinct *non-trivial* class solutions in as a pair of square roots  $(\text{mod } p)$  of a *least positive complementary pairs* pair of quadratic residues  $\text{mod } (p)$ .

**Theorem 1:** (*Astaneh*) Given the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime number;

- (a) If  $p = 4k - 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , the only class solutions of the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  equation is the *trivial* one  $([0], [0])$ .
- (b) If  $p = 4k + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, up to multiplication of both components of class solutions  $([r], [s])$  by an integer  $u \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , i.e.  $(u \times [r], u \times [s])$ , the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has a further  $k$  *non-trivial* primitive class solutions. More precisely for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , if  $(a_i, b_i)$  is the  $i$ th *least positive complimentary* quadratic residues  $(\text{mod } p)$ ; and if  $r_{i0}$ , and  $s_{i0}$  are the respective *least positive quadratic roots*  $(\text{mod } p)$  for  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ , then  $([r_{i0}], [s_{i0}])$  is the  $i$ th *non-trivial* class solution of the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

Note, that in assertion (b), the set  $u \times [r] \subsetneq$  of integers is a proper subset of the class  $[u \times r]$ . For example, when  $u = 2$ , the integer  $2r + 5p \in [2r]$ , but since  $2 \times [r] = \{2r + 2kp: k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ,  $2r + 5p \notin 2 \times [r]$  as for no  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we can have  $2r + 2kp = 2r + 5p$ . Hence  $u \times [r] \subsetneq [ur]$ , unless of course  $u = 1$ .

**Proof:** Part (a) follows directly from assertion of Proposition 1.  
 Part (b) simply follows from the Corollary to the Proposition 1, in conjunction with the *Remark* made between the proof of the if part and the only if part of the Proposition 1; together with the fact that for any pair  $(r_{i0}, s_{i0})$  of *least positive*

quadratic roots (mod  $p$ ), corresponding to a pair  $(a_i, b_i)$  of least positive quadratic residues (mod  $p$ ), we have  $r_{i0}^2 + s_{i0}^2 = a_i + b_i = p$ .

**Example 1** Since  $p = 11 = 4 \times 3 - 1$ , by part (a) of Theorem 1 the only class solution for the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$  is the *trivial* one  $([0], [0])$ .

**Example 2** For  $p = 13 = 4 \times 3 + 1$ , apart from the *trivial* class solution  $([u], [v]) = ([0], [0])$ , part (b) of the Theorem implies that the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  has  $k = 3$  further class solutions; each defined by one of the three existing pair of least positive complimentary quadratic residue  $(a_1, b_1) = (1, 12)$ ,  $(a_2, b_2) = (3, 10)$ , and  $(a_3, b_3) = (4, 9)$  whose respective least positive quadratic roots (mod 13) as ordered pairs are  $(r_{10}, s_{10}) = (1, 5)$ ,  $(r_{20}, s_{20}) = (4, 6)$ , and  $(r_{30}, s_{30}) = (2, 3)$ . Therefore, up to multiplication by an integer  $u \not\equiv 0 \pmod{13}$ , the 3 further (*non-trivial*) class solutions for congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  are  $([1], [5])$ ,  $([4], [6])$ , and  $([2], [3])$ . Hence all existing class solutions of the congruency  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  can be described are  $(u \times [1], u \times [5])$ ,  $(u \times [4], u \times [6])$ , and  $(u \times [2], u \times [3])$ , with  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

Observe that, by the Note following Proof of Theorem 1, since both  $([2], [3])$  and  $([4], [6])$  are primitive solutions, the class solution and  $([4], [6])$  can't be ignored as a primitive class solution just because  $([2], [3])$  is one, and that  $(4, 6) \in (2 \times [2], 2 \times [3])$  is a solution of the congruency; simply because  $(2 \times [2], 2 \times [3])$  is a subset of  $([4], [6])$ . More precisely, an example would be that

$$(2 \times 2 + 5 \times 13, 2 \times 3 + 5 \times 13) \in ([2], [3])$$

and

$$(2 \times 2 + 5 \times 13, 2 \times 3 + 5 \times 13) \in ([4], [6]),$$

whereas

$$(2 \times 2 + 5 \times 13, 2 \times 3 + 5 \times 13) \notin (2 \times [2], 2 \times [3]).$$

Next, we present class solutions for the easier congruency  $x^2 - y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  as the following Proposition 2. Although one can again use quadratic residues (mod  $p$ ) to handle this case, the identity  $x^2 - y^2 = (x - y)(x + y)$  suggest the easier method seen in the Proof below. However, a bit of effort is involved to show that class solutions obtained from the two methods are indeed the same.

**Proposition 2:** Let  $p$  be any prime number. Then class solutions of the congruency  $x^2 - y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  are,

(a)  $([u], [u]), u \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(b)  $([u], [-u]), u \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof:** It is enough to consider that the congruency  $x^2 - y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  is equivalent to the system of two congruences  $x - y \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $x + y \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and the fact that the list in (a) represents all class solutions of the former congruency  $x - y \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , whereas list (b) represents all class solutions of the latter congruency  $x + y \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

**Theorem 2:** (Astaneh) Given the congruency  $x^4 - y^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime number;

(a) If  $p = 4k - 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then the only class solutions of the congruency  $x^4 - y^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  are of the form  $([u], [u]), u \in \mathbb{Z}$  together with those of the form  $([u], [-u]), u \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(b) If  $p = 4k + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then class solutions of  $x^4 - y^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  are

**(i)** All class solutions  $([u], [u])$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $([u], [-u])$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**(j)** All class solutions listed in part **(b)** of Theorem 1.

**Proof:** Since the congruency  $x^4 - y^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  is equivalent to the system of two congruences  $(x - y)(x + y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and since by Proposition 2 class solutions of the former congruency are as listed in part **(i)**, and because  $p = 4k + 1$  implies class solutions of the latter congruency are the ones listed in part **(b)** of Theorem 1, the proof is complete.

**Example 3** Since  $p = 11 = 4 \times 3 - 1$ , by Proposition 2 class solutions for the congruency  $x^4 - y^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$  are all  $([u], [u])$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $([u], [-u])$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 4** For  $p = 13$ , Proposition 2 implies class solutions of the congruency  $x^4 - y^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  include all  $([u], [u])$  and  $([u], [-u])$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and since  $13 = 4 \times 3 + 1$ , as seen in Example 2, part **(b)** of Theorem 1 implies that the only remaining class solutions of the congruency  $x^4 - y^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  are  $([1], [5])$ ,  $([4], [6])$ , and  $([2], [3])$ .